

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHASOM BROWN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 20-cv-03664-YGR (SVK)

**ORDER RE BRIEFING AND HEARING  
SCHEDULE ON PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION FOR ORDER REQUIRING  
GOOGLE TO SHOW CAUSE WHY IT  
SHOULD NOT BE SANCTIONED FOR  
DISCOVERY MISCONDUCT**

Re: Dkt. No. 430

On February 26, 2022, Plaintiffs filed a motion seeking an order requiring Google to show cause why it should not be sanctioned for discovery misconduct. Dkt. 430. At a February 28, 2022 hearing on other discovery issues, the Court indicated it would set a schedule for the motion. Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** the following briefing and hearing schedule on Plaintiffs' request for discovery sanctions against Google (Dkt. 430):

Event	Date/Deadline
Google's opposition brief	March 24, 2022
Plaintiffs' reply	April 7, 2022
Each side to file proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, with Word versions emailed to the Courtroom Deputy ( <i>see</i> Judge van Keulen's Civil Pretrial Standing Order ¶ V for information about required contents)	April 14, 2022
Evidentiary hearing	April 21, 2022 at 10:00 a.m.

The Court directs Google to have the witnesses identified in Plaintiffs' moving papers reserve the hearing date. In its opposition, Google is to identify any witnesses it intends to call.

**SO ORDERED.**

Dated: March 1, 2022



SUSAN VAN KEULEN  
United States Magistrate Judge